

The New Terminator

Posted by Gazza - 14 Jun 2009 13:21

Not gonna ruin it for anyone, Just gonna say anyone who is thinking of going to watch it. Watch it!!!!

Its awesome 🤩
Also watch it at the cinemas if possible, They play alot of Very low basenotes at important times which makes your legs go all fuzzy. As previously stated its awesome

=====

Re: The New Terminator

Posted by liger - 01 Jul 2009 19:28

no way there are no spoilers in those 3 huge posts.

tl;dr

=====

Re: The New Terminator

Posted by Tunes - 01 Jul 2009 23:59

HBW wrote:

Sorry to poop on your chips lads but it really wasn't a good film at all. Was going to lets it slide but a sequel....really?

That film was pointless enough i shudder to think of a sequel.

Here's an idea for script writers....get your own ideas and stop bending over the classics for a unwanted surprise

I shall even have the trouble of answering like che did... startrek a good movie? gggeeeesh

=====

Re: The New Terminator

Posted by HBW - 02 Jul 2009 13:41

Luckie wrote:

You can't have knowledge regarding something subjective 🤔

My opinion isn't subjective, it's a known quality 🤔

Re: The New Terminator

Posted by Luckie - 02 Jul 2009 17:24

quality is also a subjective measure 🤔

Re: The New Terminator

Posted by Che ken - 02 Jul 2009 21:00

Tunes wrote:

HBW wrote:

Sorry to poop on your chips lads but it really wasn't a good film at all. Was going to let it slide but a sequel....really?

That film was pointless enough i shudder to think of a sequel.

Here's an idea for script writers....get your own ideas and stop bending over the classics for a unwanted surprise

I shall even have the trouble of answering like che did... startrek a good movie? gggeeeesh

I answered something? 🤔

Tunes wrote:

startrek a good movie? gggeeeesh

+1

=====

Re: The New Terminator

Posted by HBW - 02 Jul 2009 21:41

Luckie wrote:

quality is also a subjective measure 🤔

Not when used in the quantitative / qualitative sense

ie. **Something** (a **known** element) you can't put a number on to give it its meaning or value, opposite to quantitative.

Which if u actually read my post is the obvious intended meaning.

=====

Re: The New Terminator

Posted by niLdesperandum - 03 Jul 2009 00:24

HBW wrote:

Luckie wrote:

quality is also a subjective measure 🤔

Not when used in the quantitative / qualitative sense

ie. **Something** (a **known** element) you can't put a number on to give it its meaning or value, opposite to

quantitative.

Which if u actually read my post is the obvious intended meaning.

Surely then it becomes relative as opposed to subjective?

*Opens can of worms.

=====

Re: The New Terminator

Posted by HBW - 03 Jul 2009 11:52

niLdesperandum wrote:

Surely then it becomes relative as opposed to subjective?

*Opens can of worms.

No one asked you 🤔

However, my point was that it's not subjective, so thanks I guess 🙄

* Hopefully replaces contents of can and seals...then buries

=====

Re: The New Terminator

Posted by Johannicus - 06 Jul 2009 22:06

HBW wrote:

I would add that I didn't think it was a terrible film it had it's moments..

Like I said earlier, not all bad but they could have done so much more, dared to take it further. They didnt show anything new. With the tactical expertise the resistance had, or lacked is a better word, makes me wonder how they survived so far as they did. In the 2 first movies Connors dad-to-be is around his own age when he sends him through, but when this kid will be old enough John will be retired. Too many blunders!! The intro of the prison scene would have fitted better to be shown when he found skynet. Its always best when the character and audience find clues at the same time. It builds suspense..

All those nuclear batteries and no robot that can disarm a simple trap? The more you nest in this the more blunders you find or things they could have done better..shame..I really wanted to like this movie!

=====